

2017 WL 2880875, at *1 (W.D. Pa. July 6, 2017). Local Rules such as ours have been found “essential to the Court’s resolution of a summary judgment motion due to its role in organizing evidence, identifying undisputed facts, and demonstrating precisely how each side proposed to prove a disputed fact with admissible evidence.” *Weitzner v. Sanofi Pasteur Inc.*, 909 F.3d 604, 613 (3d Cir. 2018) (citations omitted). *See also Weimer v. County of Fayette*, 2022 WL 28119025, at *10 (W.D. Pa. July 19, 2022) (“The purpose of Local Rule 56(B)(1) is to aid the Court in deciding a motion for summary judgment by identifying material facts and supporting documentation to determine whether the fact is disputed) (citation omitted).

Although courts provide some leniency to pro se litigants when applying procedural rules, pro se litigants may not ignore such rules. *See Mala v. Crown Bay Marina, Inc.*, 704 F.3d 239, 244 (3d Cir. 2013) and *McNeil v. United States*, 508 U.S. 106, 113, (1993)). Accordingly, Hunter’s motion for summary judgment will be dismissed, without prejudice. He may refile an appropriately supported motion which complies with our Local Rule. The Court cautions Hunter that, pursuant to the Case Management Order in place herein, discovery concludes on January 11, 2024, and **motions for summary judgment are due on or before February 20, 2024**. *See* ECF No. 74.

DATED November 16, 2023.

BY THE COURT:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Lanzillo", written over a horizontal line.

RICHARD A. LANZILLO
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE